Sarai Juliana Cooperative House Building Society Ltd., Sukhdev Vihar

4562. SHRI A. NALLASIVAN: Will tile Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the derails of cases in the Sarai Juliana Cooperative House Building Society Ltd., Sukhdev Vihar where the allottees of the plots have requested the D.D.A. to allow them to deposit the amount/penalty/demanded by the department subject to the decision by grant of extension of time to start the construction work; and

(b) on what merits the requests were airned down by the D.D.A.?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) The DDA has reported that there is only one case in the Co-operative House Building Society Cell which relates to plot No. 23, Sarai Juliana Coop. House Building Society Ltd. known as Sukhdev Vihar, wherein Shri Piara Singh, the sub-lessee, was advised to make a payment of Rs. 25,118/- on account of compisition charges for condonation of delay in construction for the period upto 31-12.1993 subject to withdrawal of the *Court* Case. Shrr Piara Singh made a request to allow him to deposit this amount of Rs. 25,118/- subject to the decision of the High Court in the writ Petition filed by him.

(b) Since Shri Piara Singh did not comply with the conditions contained in she orders of the competent authority conveyed to him regarding making the payment, as stated at 'a' above, the request of Shri Piara Singh was not acceded to.

Action against the erring officers of DDA

4563. SHRI A. NALLASIVAN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether if is a fact that Government have received several complaints during the year 1993 from the allottees of the plot holders that the Senior officers of the Delhi Development Authority are flouting the poiicy/guidelines regard.
ing exemption of relay period;

(b) if so, what- action has been taken. by Government against the erring officers of the DDA who are unnecessarily inciting the litigation and wasting the public money as well on the representation of the allottees;

(c) what is the criteria laid down by the DDA regarding exemption of litiga. tion period in the cases where no orders are in operation by the courts/registrar regarding staying the construction work; and

(d) the details of cases where the allottees of the plots have requested the Department for allowing to deposit the 'Composition Fee' subject to the decision of the court and what action has been taken by the Chief Legal Advisor and Court cases filed during the tenure of the Vice Chairman and total amount spent on litigation during the said period?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI P. K. THUNGON): (a) and (b) DDA has reported that a complaint to this effect has been received! from Shri Piara Singh, Sub-lessee of plot No. 23, Sarai Juliana Cooperative House Building Limited. DDA has denied that its senior officers are flouting the policy guidelines in his case.

(c) No criteria, as such, has been laid diwn by the DDA *'to* decide such cases. However, the Vice-Chairman,